

Plants are constantly attacked by microbes looking for a meal. In fact, crop losses to pathogens can be a major factor to field productivity and have a big influence on the prices we pay at the grocery store. Many people do not realize that plants are not helpless in their fight against pathogenic organisms; plants use their immune system to sense attacking pests and mount a defense response. In fact, the plant immune system ensures that most plants are resistant to most pathogens. In order to understand plant tissue after activation of immune receptors. In addition, we are investigating ways to disrupt bacterial communication signals (quorum sensing) and modulate the behavior of insects that vector important plant pathogenic bacteria. By studying different aspects of plant-pathogen interactions we hope to gain a comprehensive understanding of pathogen virulence strategies and plant immune responses. Ultimately, these approaches should contribute to the development of novel methods of plant disease control in agriculture. Our work was supported by NSF IGERT Award DGE-0653984

Understanding Plant Immune Responses



Figure 1. Plasma membrane (PM) proteins regulate many plant responses to microbial infection Plant immune receptors can recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) or pathogen effector proteins and initiate intracellular immune signaling. Activation of these signaling cascades results in cellular reprogramming to mount an effective defense response including secretion of antimicrobial compounds, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and callose deposition in the plant cell wall. Immune receptors studied in this work are circled in red. ETI, effector-triggered immunity; PTI, pattern-triggered immunity.





Condition/		Total # Protein			# DE	# UP	# DOWN	
Time	Total # SpC IDs	IDs	# Quantifiable	% Quantifiable	(%)	(%)	(%)	
FLS2-PTI								
10min	2136198	4857	4287	88.3%	80 (1.9%)	37 (0.9%)	43 (1.0%)	
FLS2-PTI 3hr	1496265	4726	4096	86.7%	142 (3.5%)	99 (2.4%)	43 (1.0%)	
						155		
FLS2-PTI 12hr	2566199	4540	4102	90.4%	266 (6.5%)	(3.8%)	111 (2.7%)	
						252		
RPS2-ETI 6hr	416329	3909	2858	72.7%	416 (14.6%)	(8.8%)	164 (5.7%)	
Table 1. Dynamic changes in protein composition occur at the plant PM during immune responses (Abbreviations: ELS2-PTL_PAMP-triggered immunity: RPS2-FTL_Effector-triggered immunity: SpC_Spectral								

ADDIEVIALIONS. FLSZ-F II, FAIVIF-LIIYYEIEU IIIIIIUIIILY, KFSZ-E II, ENEULUI-LIIYYEIEU IIIIIIUIIILY, SPU, SPEULAI *count; DE, differentially expressed*

"Beating Back the Bugs! Innovative Approaches to Sustainable Agriculture" Hyrum Gillespie¹, Elenor Castillo¹, and J. Mitch Elmore² ¹Department of Plant Sciences and ²Department of Plant Pathology University of California at Davis

Protein	Label-Free		
tifications	Quantification		
Tandem affold 4 peptide PR <0.1% protein DR <5%	Spectral Counting QSpec local FDR <0.05 Fold Change >50%		

allows proteomics workflow

inducible GVG-AvrRpt2 transgenic Arabidopsis lines were sprayed with 30µM Dex and leaves were harvested after 6 hours. To activate FLS2-PTI, Col-0 WT plants were sprayed with 10 μ M flg22 peptide. LC-MS/MS, Liquid chromatography-

enrichment of PM proteins and depletion of other subcellular compartments after two-phase







pathogens. *cfu*, *colony* forming units.



Florida. Journal of Ethnopharmacology. 105:427-435.





Designated Emphasis in Biotechnology



•	\rightarrow	Norma comm sensin
	\rightarrow	Bacter (receiv comm
Ç	→	Bacter