

Inactivation of Cryptosporidium paruvm using Photoelectrocatalytic Oxidation

University of Wisconsin – Madison, Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering

Kyana Young, PhD Student



Photoelectrocatalytic Oxidation

-An advanced oxidation technology that uses UV radiation to activate a TiO₂ based photoactive electrode, that can produce free chlorine species (HOCI, OCI⁻ and Cl₂) by oxidizing dissolved chloride ions in water.

•Photoelectrocatalytic oxidation (PECO) uses chlorination, UV, and hydroxyl radical oxidation to disinfect water, during the point-of-use drinking water purification application.



END VIEW - PECO

PLAN VIEW - PECO

hv

Figure 1: A UV lamp of housed within a quartz sleeve. Titanium Dioxide (TiO_2) semi-conductor encompasses the titanium anode. The bulk water interface is where the advanced oxidation process take place, with an abundance of free electrons on the surface of the titanium cathode.

Cryptosporidium parvum

•A waterborne pathogenic organism known to infect humans through ingestion of water (fecal-oral route)

•Accountable for 42% of all US waterborne outbreaks (total of 87), 1991-2002 (Craun, 2006)

Increased regulations have decreased outbreaks significantly
Long Term 1,2 Surface Water Enhanced Treatment Rule

•Very resistant to inactivation via chlorination; susceptible to UV irradiation

Hypothesis

•Due to the three germicidal approaches (UV, Cl₂, and •OH), I expect a strong correlation between inactivation of *Cryptosporidium* and the use of PECO, compared with no treatment, UV only treatment, and photocatalysis treatment.

•Inactivation of *Cryptosporidium* should follow first order reaction kinetics, the Chick-Watson model

Research Question

How effective is the photoelectrocatalytic oxidation device in inactivating Cryptosporidium parvum?

Methods

•A 7L tank filled with 4L of tap water, acts as a re-circulating batch system. A PECO unit is connected to a submerged pump.

•One mL of 2 x 10^7 oocysts is inoculated into each tank, and allowed to re-circulate throughout the system, sans treatment, before the first sample is taken at time = 0.

•Three tanks, with a respective PECO device (One control = No treatment)

Sampling Technique

- 0, 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes
- 1 mL [IFA], 300 μL [Flow Cytometer] (triplicates) Positive and Negative controls (duplicate):
- Positive: Enumerated occysts at 1000, 750, 500, 250, 100, 10 Negative: Heat Inactivated occysts

•Samples are then prepared for inoculation on to the established HCT-8 cell monolayer, on a 8-well chamber slide. After well inoculation, sample is then processed using an immunofluorescent assay.



Figure 2: Schematic of one PECO unit; a 7L tank, 12V Power Supply, 2-½" ID x %" OD Vinyl Connector Tubes, PECO device, Pump (Max Q = 5.4 L/min)

References

• CH Diagnostics and Consulting Service. (2011). Product Information.

Craun, M. (2006). Waterborne Outbreaks Reported in the United States.

Lucido, J. (2010). In-Situ Chlorine Generation and Microbial Inactivation by Photoelectrocatalytic Oxidation.

Masters, G., Ela, W. (2008), Introduction to Environmental Engineering and Science. Third Edition. 300-301.

Immunofluorescent Assay (IFA)

•Developed to detect ACTIVE Cryptosporidium oocysts in human, animal, and fecal smears

•Use of dyes to stain oocysts, that are viewed using microscopy -Rat anti-sporozoite antibody, Goat anti-rat IgG FITC labeled anti-body

 Infection detected as a monolayer containing at least one focus of life stages





Time (mins)

Figure 3: PECO achieved complete inactivation by the 3 minute sampling point. Operational parameters: flowrate, applied voltage (9Volts), seeded occysts concentration (2x10⁷ occysts/mL). Tank 1 served as the control (no treatment), with the identical operational parameters as tanks 2 and 3.

Acknowledgements

•Dr. Greg Harrington (Advisor)

- •Dr. Robert Beattie, Carmela Diosana (IGERT-CHANGE) •Dr. Doug Henderson, Kelly Burton (GERS)
- •Rebecca Hoffman, Martin Collins (WSLH)
- •Mother, family, and friends. Thank you for believing in me.