

WASHINGTON STATE

¹School of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, Washington State University, ²Voiland School of Chemical Engineering and Bioengineering, Washington State University, ³Department of Integrative Physiology and Neuroscience, Washington State University, ⁴Department of Psychology, Washington State University, ⁵Inland Neurosurgery and Spine Associates, ⁶Northwest Neurological PLLC

Why Identify Dyskinesia? **Engineering Meets** IGERT aim: Develop smart environments and **A Clinical Need** technologies to improve human health. **Overview of System Design (Figure 2).** Dyskinesia in Parkinson's disease (PD) is **Collect Sensor Data:** 19 PD Participants wore characterized by unintentional and uncoordinated accelerometers on wrists, ankles, and right hip. movements. **Observe Dyskinesia:** Trained observer recorded • A side effect of PD therapies used to improve times when dyskinesia occurred over 1.5 hours. motor function due to overmedication or Signal Analysis: Band-pass filtered accelerometer overstimulation. signals and transformed them into the frequency Assessment is done by infrequent visits to clinic domain with a fast Fourier transform (FFT). and unreliable patient self-report. **Derive Features:** Calculated features in the In general, in-home monitoring systems are frequency bands identified in the literature [3-4]: effective for identifying and quantifying disease Dyskinesia (1-3.5 Hz), PD Tremor (5-8 Hz), High (3.5symptoms, and optimizing treatments [1]. 8 Hz), and Full (1-13 Hz) frequency. We hypothesized that we could classify body-**Classify Features onto Observed Dyskinesia**: worn accelerometer data into dyskinesia and Combined features into a "Feature Vector" and non-dyskinesia periods using signal analysis, classified into dyskinesia and non-dyskinesia feature extraction, and machine learning periods with a decision tree classifier. algorithms with observed dyskinesia periods as [3-6] training classes. **Meeting Patient and Clinician Acceptance Criteria Deriving Features** Body-Worn Sensor Acceptance Criteria Calculated for each sensor location Patients: Clinicians: High acceptance rate Requires no technology training Not affect bodily behavior Simple interface Not replace the clinician Low cost

 Table 1. Design constraints for body-worn sensor systems,
based upon [2].

Minimal upkeep

Low time demand

To meet patient and clinician criteria (Table 1):

• We chose a sensor (Figure 1) that: Looks like an ordinary watch

Easy to use

Unobtrusive

- Is inexpensive and simple to use
- The system output must have clinical relevance
- We tested that the algorithm is able to generalize across participants



Figure 1. Geneactiv Accelerometer on Left Wrist

1. Cunningham et al., 2009, 2. Bergmann et al., 2011, 3. Patel et al., 2011, 4. Tsipouras et al., 2012, 5. Keijsers et al., 2003, 6. Mera et al., 2012

Identifying Dyskinesia Periods in Parkinson's Disease Nathan Darnall¹, David Lin^{1,2,3}, Maureen Schmitter-Edgecombe⁴, Jonathan Carlson⁵, David Greeley⁶, Jamie Mark⁶

dyskinesia.

Calculated for 1-minute moving time window instances Feature Vector Matrix: all features from 5 sensor locations WEKA software classifies feature instances onto dyskinesia class



Figure 2. System Overview. Periods of dyskinesia are identified from classification of features derived from the data recorded by the accelerometers worn on the wrists, ankles, and hip.

